

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3  
4 POTTER VOICE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, No. C 13-1710 CW  
5 Plaintiff,  
6 v.  
7 APPLE INC., et al., ORDER VACATING  
8 Defendants, (DOCKET NO. 399)  
9  
===== /  
10  
11 On March 20, 2015, the Court denied Plaintiff Potter Voice  
12 Technologies LLC's motion to file under seal Exhibits 1, 4 and 5  
13 to the Declaration of Richard C. Lin in support of Potter Voice's  
14 Unopposed Motion to Amend Final Infringement Contentions because  
15 Defendant Apple failed to submit a declaration establishing the  
16 designated material as sealable within four days as required under  
17 Civil Local Rule 79-5(e).  
18  
19 On March 16, 2015, Apple had filed a belated declaration from  
20 Benjamin G. Damstedt in support of Potter Voice's motion to seal.  
21 Mr. Damstedt explains that Exhibits 1, 4 and 5 are different  
22 versions of Potter Voice's original and amended infringement  
23 contentions. Mr. Damstedt maintains that each version contains  
24 highly confidential and commercially sensitive information,  
25 including references and quotations about the operation of Apple's  
26 Siri function and citations to internal Apple technical  
27 documentation, Apple source code, and technical information  
28 provided by Apple's witnesses. Due to the extensive confidential  
information throughout the three exhibits, Apple requests that  
Exhibits 1, 4 and 5 be sealed in their entireties. The Court finds

1 that the exhibits are confidential and fall within the class of  
2 materials that may be filed under seal.

3 Due to the sensitive nature of this information, Order No.  
4 405 is VACATED and Potter Voice's administrative motion to seal  
5 (Docket No. 399) is GRANTED.

6

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8

9 Dated: March 24, 2015



10 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge